Improving human performance



There is immense scope for technology to improve how the human body performs and functions.





B6

We have always developed external tools which enable our bodies to do things we could not naturally do. If we could develop 'internal' tools to do the same thing, what's wrong with that?

What would you like to enhance if you could?



If it was possible to use pills, implanted chips, electrodes etc., would you improve: appearance, physical strength, need for sleep, memory, concentration, facial recognition, mood? Or somerthing else?



B7





We should see human life as a gift, not something we should tamper with, in order to try and make our own improvements.

Human enhancement

:5

Human enhancement

Human enhancement

Human enhancement





Our deepest human problems are moral, spiritual and relational, not our bodily limitations. They lie beyond physical enhancements to answer. Altering nature and altering ourselves?



It's one thing to use technologies to manipulate our environment, but we should not try to manipulate ourselves.

Human enhancement

What is a human being?



Are we a bag of genes, a conscious mind in a body, the image of God, etc.? Are we material or spiritual, separate individuals or dependent on relationships?



B8



Are there some basic things about being human, which would diminish our humanity if we changed them?

Keeping within our biological limits, or not?



The human body works within physical limits like size – we can't fly or digest grass. Should we respect those limits or use new technologies to try and perhaps go far beyond them?

B9 Radical or more limited enhancement?



B10

B14

I don't want to make radical changes, to become like some superhuman, but I wouldn't object to making limited enhancements of the human body. But is that just the start of a slippery slope? Everybody wins



B11

B15

Enhancement is like most new technologies; it will create new winners and losers. As they become more widely adopted, costs come down. Most people should be better off in the end.

Make poverty history?



If enhancements would give competitive or commercial advantages, should the priority in research be on applications to help the poor and disadvantaged? Is this possible?

Human enhancement

Human enhancement

Human enhancement

Human enhancement





A civilised society should be able to prevent enhancement leading to discrimination, just as it seeks to do in other areas.

Human enhancement



Have's

B13



Human enhancement would always be socially divisive. To allow a privileged few to 'hard-wire' permanent physical or mental advantages into their bodies would cause even more division and injustice in our world.

Human enhancement





If enhancements really were so good, it would be unjust if they were only available if you could pay, with the rest left behind in the new human race.

Human enhancement

Enhancement and eugenics?

B16

B12



Some say it is a short step from human enhancement to social engineering or eugenic practices, for example to offer radical life extension only to those deemed to be functionally 'fit'.

Opportunity cost?



B17

Faced with poverty, hunger, disease, and climate change, would our research effort and resources be better spent on other goals than trying to enhance ourselves?







Because of peer pressure or the fear of falling behind, some people might feel forced into adopting an enhancement against their personal values or better judgement.

Dual use



B19

B23

Scientific breakthroughs in medicine may also have military applications used for enhancements. Should this 'dual use' be restricted, or should that choice left to the military?





Are the implications of enhancement too serious to treat just as matters of personal preference? Or has society no right to prevent an individual to flourish by making enhancements?

Human enhancement

Human enhancement

Human enhancement

Human enhancement

Getting locked in to enhancement



The relative benefit of a competitive performance enhancement would be lost if everyone adopted it. No one would dare stop using it, but no one would benefit any more. Everyone becomes locked in to a now pointless technology.

321 We got to the moon



Enhancement builds on the scientific skills we have developed over generations. Of course we can't predict all the outcomes, but if we never try we'll never improve ourselves.

Risks can be handled



Just as invasive medical procedures are done under careful regulation to avoid causing unnecessary harm, we will be able to handle any risks of human enhancement





If we cannot make household appliances that don't break down, and if we mismanage so many complex projects, are we fooling ourselves to think we can redesign ourselves?

Human enhancement

Human enhancement

Can we keep in balance?



Some sports enhancements have had tragic results, e.g. among racing cyclists. Can we enhance one part of our body, without upsetting its overall balance?





There are serious risks in many aspects of intervention in the human body, for which enhancement has no balancing good like a hope of treating terminal illness.

Not so fast!



32

B31

Commercial, medical or military pressures can sometimes drive the application of science faster than we understand it. We can't afford this to happen with human enhancement.

Would it be better?



Many so called enhancements might not turn out to have made things better – e.g. radically improving our memory: there are many things we're glad to forget.

Human enhancement

Human enhancement

Human enhancement

Human enhancement

Do we have wisdom enough to alter ourselves?



We have greatly altered many ecosystems and other species, and too often we've done unintended harm. Should we then alter ourselves?

B29 Brain/computer links and freedom?



Links between our brains and computers might give me better performance. But would I lose some degree of control and no longer have full freedom of thought?

Why seek to be enhanced?



Practical: to overcome one's limitations; not getting so tired, thinking quicker; Competitive: beating one's rival at last;

Aesthetic: doing a job better, a more skilled musician;

Altruistic: helping someone else better;

For fun!

Altruistic enhancements?





Would the most satisfying enhancement be, say, to create a finer work of art or craft, just for the sake of it, or to help someone else – in other words, something which is not just for my sake?

Human enhancement

Human enhancement

Well-being Is it really Enhancement :44 - the highest winning? aspiration? Some people say that The prospect of instead of 'health' we

transcending our human limits is the greatest goal we can aspire to. Now we're getting the tools to achieve it, we should use them

could use 'well-being' as a yardstick to assess enhancements. But is it possible to agree on what would make people's lives go best?



B35

B39

If I got an enhancement to beat my rival, would I be satisfied, knowing I wasn't actually better, but I'd just used a smart drug or implant, and he/she didn't?

Human enhancement

Would we be 'better' humans?





Suppose we could become stronger, quicker thinking, more memory, longer lived, or even had entirely new human capacities, would it actually makes us better as human beings, taking our whole humanity into account?

Human enhancement

Human enhancement

B37

Becoming less human?



If I started using an enhancement like a drug so that I could manage with less sleep, would I become more dependent on technology, but less free to be human?

Human enhancement







dissatisfaction?

If I want to be enhanced because I want to attain more, would I ever be satisfied, compared with being more enhanced still? Is pursuing the idea of technological enhancement chasing a really unattainable goal? Making the most of ourselves?



Is our success as humans more about making the most of what we are, compared with seeking always to be changing it into something else?

Human enhancement







Suppose I decided to have some claimed enhancement done to myself, how would I know if it had truly enhanced me? And would my friends and family necessarily agree it was an improvement?

How would enhancements be used in practice?



If an infrared vision chip was available, that was intended to help me drive more safely at night, would I just use it to drive faster, instead of safer?







We decide all kinds of things in advance for our children. Would they blame us if we did not enhance them, given we had the option? Or blame us if we did?

Is technical efficiency always a good thing?



Technology can reduce drudgery and increase efficiency. But do we use well the space that we gain? And can we lose important human or social 'goods' by pursuing mere technical efficiency? Should we enhance trust using a special drug?



Researchers claim that giving rats the chemical oxytocin increases their trust of other rats. Should we try this on humans? But is it real trust, if it's induced by chemicals? Is it too open to manipulation?

Human enhancement

Human enhancement

Human enhancement

Human enhancement

Enhancement as a condition of employment



If work performance could be enhanced, should we allow such enhancements to be used in employment: as a condition of getting a job, winning promotion, or keeping your job in hard times?